

# Feedback control of humanoid robots: balancing and walking

Dr.-Ing. Christian Ott German Aerospace Center (DLR) Institute for Robotics and Mechatronics



DLR 02/05/2012





Part 0: Short overview of (biped robots at) DLR

Part I: Modeling

Part II: Balancing

Part III: Walking Control

# HELMHOLTZ German Aerospace Center (DLR)





Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics: Former director: Prof. Hirzinger Director: Prof. Albu-Schäffer

# HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE OF Robotics & Mechatronics



after the bearings

Commercial torque controlled arm (KUKA)

DLR



# **Compliant Manipulation**

Joint torque sensing & control for manipulation





#### Robustness: Passivity Based Control



# **Compliant Manipulation**





#### Robustness: Passivity Based Control









# Joint torque sensing & control for manipulation Biped Robot Image: Sensing & control for manipulation Image: Sensing & control for manipulation</t



#### Anthropomorphic Hand-Arm System [Grebenstein, Albu-Schäffer et al, Humanoids 2010]

- Compliant actuation
- Antagonistic actuation for fingers
- Variable stiffness actuation in arm
- Robustness to shocks and impacts

### **Bipedal Walking Robots at DLR**



- Drive technology of the DLR arm
  - $\rightarrow$  Allow for position controlled walking (ZMP) and joint torque control!
- Small foot design: 19 x 9,5 cm
- Sensors:
  - joint torque sensors
  - force/torque sensors in the feet
  - IMU in the trunk



DLR-Biped (2010-2012)



TORO, preliminary version (2012)

TORO (2013) TOrque controlled humanoid RObot





# First experiments with DLR-Biped



First experiment at Automatica Fair in 06-2010: ZMP preview control [Kajita, 2003] Current approach: Walking control based on the Capture Point [Englsberger, Ott, Roa, et al. IROS 2011]













#### Part I: Modeling

- Multibody dynamics
- ZMP
- Simplified models for control
- Capture Point
- Centroidal Moment Pivot

#### Part II: Balancing

Part III: Walking Control

# Models of Legged (Humanoid) Robots



# Free-Floating vs. Fixed Base Models





single support serial kin. chain double support over-contrained







underactuated

#### Fixed base models

In each contact state the model is different:

- Single support (right, left) 🔸
- Double support
- Heel Off
- Toe Touch Down
- ...

Transition between contact states

#### Free-floating model

#### Components:

- Lagrangian dynamics
- Constraints due to contact forces
- Transition equations (impacts)



# **Free-Floating vs. Fixed Base Models**





single support serial kin. chain double support over-contrained







underactuated

#### Free-floating model

#### Components:

- Lagrangian dynamics
- Constraints due to contact forces
- Transition equations (impacts)

#### Fixed base models

In each contact state the model is different:

- Single support (right, left)
- Double support
- Heel Off
- Toe Touch Down

Transition between contact states



Planning & control must ensure that the considered contact state is valid!  $\rightarrow$  ground reaction force must fulfill constraints

# **Configuration Space**



# **Configuration Space**























$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{x}(q) & M_{xq}(q) \\ M_{qx}(q) & M(q) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_{b} \\ \ddot{q} \end{pmatrix} + \overline{C}(q, \dot{x}_{b}, \dot{q}) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_{b} \\ \dot{q} \end{pmatrix} + \overline{g}(x_{b}, q) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{b} \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} J_{b}(q)^{T} \\ J(q)^{T} \end{bmatrix} F_{ext}$$







$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{b}(q) & M_{bq}(q) \\ M_{qb}(q) & M(q) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ \ddot{q} \end{pmatrix} + \overline{C}_{b}(q,\xi,\dot{q}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \dot{q} \end{pmatrix} + \overline{g}_{b}(H_{sb},q) = \begin{pmatrix} W_{b} \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Ad_{bt}(q)^{T} \\ J(q)^{T} \end{bmatrix} F_{ext}$$

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Properties for control:

- Underactuated
- Varying unilateral constraints (single support, double support, edge contact)
- Constraints on the state & control

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{x}(q) & M_{xq}(q) \\ M_{qx}(q) & M(q) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_{b} \\ \ddot{q} \end{pmatrix} + \overline{C}(q, \dot{x}_{b}, \dot{q}) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_{b} \\ \dot{q} \end{pmatrix} + \overline{g}(x_{b}, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} J_{br}(q)^{T} \\ J_{r}(q)^{T} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} F_{r} + \begin{bmatrix} J_{bl}(q)^{T} \\ 0 \\ J_{l}(q)^{T} \end{bmatrix} F_{l}$$

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

HELMHOLTZ

ASSOCIATION

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

HELMHOLTZ

ASSOCIATION

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

ELMHOLTZ

ASSOCIATION

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Zero Moment Point

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

[Vukobratovic and Stepanenko, 1972]

ZMP as a ground reference point: Distributed ground reaction force under the supporting foot can be replaced by a single force acting at the ZMP.

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

- $\neg$  Can ZMP leave the support polygon? → NO
- $\neg$  Can ZMP location be used as a stability criterion  $\rightarrow$  NO
- → If ZMP reaches the border of the support polygon  $\rightarrow$  foot rotation possible.
- $\rightarrow$  ZMP is defined on flat contact (no uneven surface).
- → ZMP gives no information about sliding.

![](_page_31_Figure_8.jpeg)

# First usage of the ZMP

- Motion of the legs is predefined.
- Upper body controls the ZMP in the center of the supporting foot
  - $\rightarrow$  ensure proper foot contact during walking

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure 9 WL-12 (1986)

# How to obtain the ZMP?

Measurement e.g. by Force/Torque Sensor

**Dynamics Computation** 

# How to obtain the ZMP?

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Dynamics Computation** 

# How to obtain the ZMP?

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Dynamics Computation**

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)
## How to obtain the ZMP?

$$\dot{P} = Mg + f$$
$$\dot{L} = c \times Mg + \tau$$
$$\tau_{p} = \dot{L} - c \times Mg - p \times (\dot{P} - Mg)$$
$$\tau_{px} = 0$$
$$\tau_{py} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{p}_{x} = \frac{Mgc_{x} + p_{z}\dot{P}_{x} - \dot{L}_{y}}{Mg + \dot{P}_{z}}$$
$$p_{y} = \frac{Mgc_{y} + p_{z}\dot{P}_{y} + \dot{L}_{x}}{Mg + \dot{P}_{z}}$$

### **Dynamics Computation**



# A simplified walking model based on the ZMP

## Mass concentrated model



 $\tau = p \times f_p + \tau_p$ 

## Mass concentrated model



 $\tau = p \times f_p + \tau_p$ 

$$p_{x} = \frac{Mgc_{x} + p_{z}\dot{P}_{x} - \dot{L}_{y}}{Mg + \dot{P}_{z}}$$

$$p_{y} = \frac{Mgc_{y} + p_{z}\dot{P}_{y} + \dot{L}_{x}}{Mg + \dot{P}_{z}}$$

$$P = M\dot{c}$$

$$L = c \times M\dot{c}$$

$$p_{x} = c_{x} - \frac{c_{z}\ddot{c}_{x}}{g + \ddot{c}_{z}}$$

$$p_{y} = c_{y} - \frac{c_{z}\ddot{c}_{y}}{g + \ddot{c}_{z}}$$

$$p_{z} = 0$$

$$f_{p} = V\dot{c}$$

ZMP of a mass concentrated model

 $\tau = p \times f_p + \tau_p$ 

## Mass concentrated model

Cart-Table Model [Kajita]



## Mass concentrated model

Linear Inverted Pendulum Model [Sugihara]

Cart-Table Model [Kajita]



Capture Point (Extrapolated Center of Mass) ((Divergent Component of Motion))

# Capture Point

Definition of the "Capture Point" (Pratt 2006, Hof 2008):

Point to step in order to bring the robot to stand.



Can be computed exactly for simple models, e.g. linear inverted pendulum model:

Computation of the Capture Point:

$$p = \text{const} \longrightarrow x(t) = \cosh(\omega t)x(0) + \sinh(\omega t)\frac{\dot{x}(0)}{\omega} + (1 - \cosh(\omega t))p$$
$$x(t \to \infty) = p$$
$$p^* = x_0 + \frac{\dot{x}_0}{\omega}$$

# **Capture Point Dynamics**

Coordinate transformation:  $(x, \dot{x}) \rightarrow (x, \xi)$   $\xi = x + \frac{\dot{x}}{\omega}$ 

System structure: Cascaded system



Dual use of the capture point for robotics

- 1. step planning
- 2. control

# Capture Point in Human Measurements

Human

15 CoP CoM 4.5 × XcoM CoP 14.5 CoM XcoM x avg. CoP 14 3.5 13.5 3 13 2.5 x X 12.5 2 12 1.5 11.5 1 0.5 11 10.5 0 1 x -0.5 10-0.26 0.4 0.38 0.1 0.05 0.36 0.34 0.3 0.32 0.28 y y Data from [\*]

Linear Inverted Pendulum

[\*] Hof, *The extrapolated center of mass concept suggests a simple control of balance in walking*, Human Movement Science 27, pp.112-125, 2008.

# **Centroidal Moment Pivot**

# **Centroidal Moment Pivot**

- Observation in human data: For normal level-ground walking, the human body's angular momentum (and the angular excursions) about the COM remains small through the gait cycle.
- The centroidal moment pivot was introduced as a ground reference point to address the effects of angular momentum about the COM in connection with postural balance strategies.

## **Centroidal Moment Pivot**

Forces in the LIP model





$$\boxed{\ddot{x} = \frac{g}{z}(x-p)}$$

Effect of an additional hip torque



# Interpretation

- The distance between CMP and ZMP corresponds to the angular momentum about the COM.
- While the ZMP cannot leave the support polygon (by definition), the CMP can leave it.
- The distance between CMP and the support polygon has been proposed as an indicator which balance strategy should dominate (via ZMP or via angular momentum).





Part I: Modeling

### Part II: Balancing

- 1. Basics
- 2. ZMP based balancing (concentrated mass model)
- 3. Torque based balancing (multi body dynamics)

Part III: Walking Control





"Balance" is a generic term describing the ability to control the body posture in order to prevent falling.







Strategies for human push recovery:



#### Robot

force control ZMP control

angular momentum control





Strategies for gait stabilization:

- 1. Controlling ZMP (constraints!)
- 2. Angular momentum control
- 3. Step adaptation

Effect of an additional hip torque







Part I: Modeling

### Part II: Balancing

- 1. Basics
- 2. ZMP based balancing (concentrated mass model)
- 3. Torque based balancing (multi-body model)

Part III: Walking Control





completely stiff



compliant control



fully compliant





### **ZMP** based balancing











Stability condition [\*]: [Choi, Kim, Oh, and You, *Posture/Walking Control for Humanoid Robot Based on Kinematic Resolution of CoM Jacobian With Embedded Motion*, TRO, 2007].



## **ZMP Based balancing**





### Balancing + Vertical Motion

Balancing + Vertical Motion + Compliant Orientation





Part I: Modeling

### Part II: Balancing

- 1. Basics
- 2. ZMP based balancing (concentrated mass model)
- 3. Torque based balancing (multi-body model)

Part III: Walking Control





Compliant COM control [Hyon & Cheng, 2006]

$$F_{COM} = Mg - K_P(c - c_d) - K_D(\dot{c} - \dot{c}_d)$$



$$T_{HIP} = \frac{\partial \dot{V}(R, K_R)}{\partial \omega} + D_R(\omega - \omega_d)$$
  
IMU measurements









HELMHOLTZ







 $F_{ext}$ 

Mg



HELMHOLTZ





Force distribution: Similar problems!



## **Force Distribution in Grasping**

HELMHOLTZ





Well studied problem in grasping: Find contact wrenches  $F_C \in FC^{\eta}$  such that a desired net wrench on the object is achieved.





### Relation between balancing wrench & contact forces





Constraints:

- Unilateral contact:  $f_{i,z} > 0$  (implicit handling of ZMP constraints)
- Friction cone constraints





### Relation between balancing wrench & contact forces





### Constraints:

- Unilateral contact:  $f_{i,z} > 0$  (implicit handling of ZMP constraints)
- Friction cone constraints

Formulation as a constraint optimization problem  $f_{C} = \arg \min \left\{ \alpha_{1} \| F_{COM} - G_{F} f_{C} \|^{2} + \alpha_{2} \| T_{HIP} - G_{T} f_{C} \|^{2} + \alpha_{3} \| f_{C} \|^{2} \right\} \qquad \alpha_{1} \gg \alpha_{2} \gg \alpha_{3}$ 



### Multibody robot model:

COM as a base coordinate  $\rightarrow$  system structure with decoupled COM dynamics.

[Space Robotics], [Wieber 2005, Hyon et al. 2006]





### **Torque based balancing**





### for orientation control and COM computation





HELMHOLTZ





[Ott, Roa, Humanoids 2011, best paper award]

# **Experiments on a Perturbation Platform**



- → Leg perturbation setup
- → Movable elastic platform



Experimental evaluation of the robustness with respect to disturbances (frequency & amplitude) at the foot




Out of phase disturbance

#### synchronous disturbance 2mm, up to 8 Hz











- 1) Impact experiments
- 2) Whole body interaction
- 3) Singularities



# Comparison 1/3

#### 1) Impact experiments

#### **Position Based Control**







# Comparison 1/3

1) Impact experiments

#### **Position Based Control**

#### Observations:

- Balancing after impact is comparable
- Torque based controller does not control relative foot location





## Comparison 2/3

#### 3) Whole body interaction

#### **Position Based Control**







# Comparison 2/3

3) Whole body interaction

#### **Position Based Control**



- Force sensor based controller depends on a reference frame
- Torque based controller does not need information about the point of contact





# Comparison 3/3

#### 4) Singular Configurations

#### **Position Based Control**



# Comparison 3/3

4) Singular Configurations

#### **Position Based Control**

#### **Observations:**

- Position based controller uses Inverse Kinematics, which requires singularity handling
- Torque based controller uses transposed Jacobian mapping, and thus is not affected by singularities





- ✓ On flat floor both approaches allow for a compliant behavior
- Torque based controller shows independence on precise ground contact (force mapping based on IMU information)
- ✓ Admittance controller depends on a reference frame





Part I: Modeling

Part II: Balancing

Part III: Walking Control

- 1. Walking pattern generation
- 2. Feedback control

### Robot control based on conceptual models



## Mass concentrated model

Linear Inverted Pendulum Model [Sugihara]

Cart-Table Model [Kajita]



### Mass concentrated model



On a winding road, we steer a car by watching ahead, **by previewing the future reference**.



- Concept and naming [Sheridan 1966]
  - LQ optimal controller [Tomizuka and Rosenthal 1979] [Katayama et.al 1985]

How to use future information about the reference?

٠



Time-discrete system:

 $x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) \qquad x(k) \in \Re^{n}$  $y(k) = Cx(k) \qquad y(k) \in \Re^{p}$ 

Assumptions:

Assume (A,B) is stabilizable & (C,A) is detectable, and [\*\*] rank  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ B \end{bmatrix}$ [\*\*] Ensures that the system has no transmission zero at z=1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ A - I \end{bmatrix} = p + n$$

Reference output: Assume known for N future time steps  $y_{ref}(k)$ 

Cost function:

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e(k)^T Q_e e(k) + \Delta x(k)^T Q_x \Delta x(k) + \Delta u(k)^T R \Delta u(k) \qquad e(k) = y(k) - y_{ref}(k)$$
$$\Delta x(k) = x(k) - x(k-1)$$

 $Q_e, R$  positive definite.

 $\Delta x(k) = x(k) - x(k-1)$  $\Delta u(k) = u(k) - u(k-1)$ 

- Uses differential control input  $\rightarrow$  integral action.
- Uses the output error compared to reference signal.

Time-discrete system:

x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)y(k) = Cx(k) $x(k) \to \Delta x(k)$  $u(k) \to \Delta u(k)$ Modified system representation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e(k+1) \\ \Delta x(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & CA \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e(k) \\ \Delta x(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} CB \\ B \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k) + \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta y_{ref}(k+1)$$

[\*\*] Ensures that this system is stabilizable.

Time-discrete system:

x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) y(k) = Cx(k)  $x(k) \rightarrow \Delta x(k)$  $u(k) \rightarrow \Delta u(k)$ 

Modified system representation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e(k+1) \\ \Delta x(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & CA \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e(k) \\ \Delta x(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} CB \\ B \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k) + \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta y_{ref}(k+1)$$

[\*\*] Ensures that this system is stabilizable.

How to handle future reference input?

$$x_{d}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta y_{ref}(k+1), \dots, \Delta y_{ref}(k+N) \end{bmatrix}$$
  
System augmentation Dynamics of the new state:  
(for next N reference input values) 
$$x_{d}(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x_{d}(k)$$

Modified system representation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e(k+1) \\ \Delta x(k+1) \\ x_d(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & CA & [-I & 0] \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e(k) \\ \Delta x(k) \\ x_d(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} CB \\ B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k)$$

Cost function:  

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e(k)^{T} Q_{e} e(k) + \Delta x(k)^{T} Q_{x} \Delta x(k) + \Delta u(k)^{T} R \Delta u(k)$$

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z(k)^{T} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{e} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} z(k) + \Delta u(k)^{T} R \Delta u(k)$$

Standard LQR Design for augmented system!

### **Preview Control**



#### Example Application: Walking Pattern Generation



(Kajita 2003)

p ... ZMP (Zero Moment Point) loosely speaking: Point on the sole where the reduced contact force is acting.

x ... Position of the CoM

$$\overline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ \ddot{x} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \overline{x}(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T & T^2/2 \\ 0 & 1 & T \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overline{x}(k) + \begin{bmatrix} T^3/6 \\ T^2/2 \\ T \end{bmatrix} u(k)$$
$$u = \overline{x} \\ y = p \qquad y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -z/g \end{bmatrix} \overline{x}(k)$$

#### **Example Application: Walking Pattern Generation**



Preview Control: T = 5 msN = 400



Target ZMP pattern



#### **Example Application: Walking Pattern Generation**



Preview Control: T = 5 msN = 400



Target ZMP pattern



# **Properties of Preview Control**

- Efficient implementation, controller design can be computed offline
- Allows to incorporate predictive information
- ZMP contstraints are not considered explicitely
- Trajectory based approach

#### Extensions

- Model predictive control (handle zmp constraints explicitely, optimization over a finite control horizon)
- Trajectory generation  $\rightarrow$  feedback control
- Dynamic filter

## **Feedback Stabilization**



Stability condition [\*]: [Choi, Kim, Oh, and You, *Posture/Walking Control for Humanoid Robot Based on Kinematic Resolution of CoM Jacobian With Embedded Motion*, TRO, 2007].

## **Dynamic filter**

Correction of the error due to model simplification Requires computation of the multi-body dynamics



# **DLR-Biped**

#### ZMP basierte Gangregelung



Präsentiert auf der Industriemesse Automatica, Juni 2010

## **Overview**

Part I: Modeling

Part II: Balancing

Part III: Walking Control

- 1. Walking pattern generation
- 2. Feedback control

# Walking Control

State of the art walking control for fully actuated robots

- Pattern Generator for desired CoM and ZMP motion
- ZMP based Stabilizer



### Walking Control used at DLR

HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION







HELMHOLTZ













### **Capture Point Dynamik**











[Englsberger, Ott, et. al., IROS 2011]



### **Capture Point Control**





[Englsberger, Ott, et. al., IROS 2011]

### **Position based ZMP Control**

HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION






### **Capture Point Control**





[Englsberger, Ott, et. al., IROS 2011]

## **Applications**

#### 1) Vision based walking

- stereo vision (Hirschmüller)
- visual SLAM (Chilian, Steidel)
- online footstep planning, collaboration with N. Perrin (IIT)





## **Applications**

2) Optimized swingfoot trajectories: collaboration with H. Kaminaga (Univ. Tokyo)



- stride length: 70 cm
- speed: 0.5 m/s
- kinematically optimized swingfoot trajectory



- stride length: 70 cm
- speed: 0.5 m/s
- kinematically optimized torso motion (no angular momentum conversation! → slippery)





Part I:	Part II:	Part III:
Modeling	Balancing	Walking

Full Body Models>	Torque based Balancing	<ul> <li>Pattern generation</li> </ul>
Simplified Models>	ZMP based balancing —	Feedback control





# Thank you very much for your attention!

christian.ott@dlr.de





Dr. Maximo Roa E

Johannes Englsberger



Alexander Werner



Gianluca Garofalo



Bernd Henze



Dr. Christian Ott